



MARYLAND

STRATEGIC HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN

2016-2020



LETTER FROM TRANSPORTATION SECRETARY

Safety is the foundation of every single project and initiative we deliver for the citizens of Maryland. Our mission, Toward Zero Deaths, is our ultimate traffic safety goal. We continue to make significant strides to save lives on our roadways. In 2014, Maryland reduced the number of traffic fatalities to 443, which is the lowest number since 1948. This historically low number clearly demonstrates what we can do when we work together to improve traffic safety and save lives. We believe the development and implementation of the Maryland Strategic Highway Safety Plans (SHSP) continues to contribute to this reduction in fatalities and serious injuries.

This 2016 - 2020 SHSP continues the legacy of previous safety action plans with a detailed framework for the next chapter of transportation safety in Maryland. We are focused on performance measures and effective strategies to achieve long-term goals. The 2016 - 2020 SHSP was designed to move Maryland closer to cutting roadway fatalities in half by 2030 and eventually ending traffic fatalities and serious injuries on our roadways.

Achieving these goals will require a sustained and steadfast commitment from State and local agencies and key safety partners. SHSP stakeholders are crucial to improving roadway safety in Maryland through implementing the strategies and action steps related to the "Four E's of Safety" (Engineering, Enforcement, Education and Emergency Medical Services). In addition, developing new partnerships will be a vital part of our continued success in emerging roadway safety areas, including vehicle connective technologies and traffic safety culture.

The Maryland SHSP Executive Council wishes to thank the Maryland Department of Transportation's Highway Safety Office (MHSO) and SHSP Emphasis Area Teams for their support and guidance in developing the 2016 - 2020 SHSP. Their tireless work in developing meaningful and actionable safety strategies will enable successful implementation during the next five years and beyond.



We invite you to join us to make a difference in the lives of citizens and visitors to Maryland in our quest to drive Maryland toward zero deaths. By improving transportation safety, we will make a difference in the lives of drivers and passengers, pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorcyclists.

Thank you,



Pete K. Rahn
Transportation Secretary

October 2015




TRANSPORTATION SAFETY OVERVIEW

Over the past five years, an average of 502 deaths and 3,702 serious injuries occurred each year on Maryland state and locally owned public roadways.¹ Each of these severe outcomes is not just a number, but an actual person – one who suffered a trauma or lost his or her life unnecessarily. In addition to the impact on individuals, each roadway fatality and serious injury affects dozens of family members, friends, and co-workers. Most of us have been directly affected by a severe traffic crash. In the United States, as well as in Maryland, motor vehicle crashes are a major cause of death and disability.


To combat these unnecessary tragedies, Maryland leaders continue to build partnerships with government agencies, private citizens, traditional safety advocates, and nontraditional partners. The Maryland Department of Transportation's (MDOT) Maryland Highway Safety Office (MHSO) and State Highway Administration (SHA) have adopted the *Toward Zero Deaths (TZD)* approach.

TZD is a data-driven effort to reduce fatalities and serious injuries by developing strong leadership in organizations that directly impact highway safety.



The results of implementation are being measured against established performance goals. From 2009 to 2013, which includes implementation of the previous Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP), the number of annual traffic fatalities in Maryland decreased by 15.3 percent. Though every fatality is tragic, every life saved is an accomplishment to be celebrated as the TZD vision moves closer to reality.


¹ Data from 2009-2013



2016-2020 STRATEGIC HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN

The 2016-2020 SHSP uses a focused approach on specific emphasis areas (EAs) and incorporates systemic safety implementation to complement the location-focused approach of the previous SHSP. Safety leaders convened a wide range of stakeholder groups to develop the new plan, and they participated in a series of meetings to confirm the final list of emphasis areas, develop strategies, and begin working on actions steps to meet the new performance goals.

2016-2020
Performance Goals



The framework below provides a visual description of Maryland’s SHSP vision and process and is an enhancement of the previous SHSP Matrix. The foundation of the SHSP is data. Safety data systems are used throughout the plan’s life cycle to develop and implement strategies, and to evaluate progress toward the goal. The Four Es of Safety - Enforcement, Engineering, Education, and Emergency Medical Services - serve as the cornerstones of the plan. These stakeholder communities are represented on emphasis area teams that form the basis of the SHSP strategies. Coordination, collaboration, and communication power the engine that drives the six emphasis area teams. Their efforts are focused on target groups identified at the center of the figure. Bicyclists and pedestrians are both an emphasis area and target group; these users are vulnerable to the actions of other road users while also at risk due to their own actions.



Safer Roads in Maryland






TABLE OF CONTENTS


Letter From Transportation Secretary	ii
Executive Summary.....	iv
Transportation Safety Overview.....	iv
2016-2020 Strategic Highway Safety Plan	v
Table Of Contents	viii
1. Introduction, Background, and History.....	1
2. Traffic Records and Crash History	8
2.1 Traffic Records and Information Systems.....	8
2.2 Maryland Crash History	9
3. Use of Effective Strategies and Countermeasures: Emphasis Areas.....	11
3.1 Aggressive Driving.....	12
3.2 Distracted Driving.....	14
3.3 Impaired Driving	16
3.4 Occupant Protection.....	18
3.5 Highway Infrastructure	20
3.6 Pedestrians and Bicyclists	23
4. Special Vehicles and Roadway Environments	26
5. SHSP Implementation	28
6. SHSP Evaluation and Update Cycle	29
6.1 Evaluation Process	29
6.2 Update Schedule.....	29
Appendix A: Maryland Strategic Highway Safety Plan Implementation Team.....	31
Appendix B: Glossary	32



1.

Maryland's SHSP has evolved over the years with changes based on results, prevailing legislation, and federal guidance. Maryland consults with the federal government to apply new processes and affirm content updates during each revision. As shown in the figure below, Maryland has sponsored and developed three SHSPs since 2006 with each iteration built upon the previous experiences and results. Based on these evolving approaches over time, Maryland has achieved success in reducing fatalities and serious injuries. The SHSPs of the past provide a solid foundation upon which future plans can be built.

SHSP Timeline Overview



2003-2005 SHSP

The first Maryland SHSP was modeled after the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) national plan, and focused on the state's transportation safety problems in 23 program areas, including multiple strategies to reduce fatalities and serious injuries on Maryland's roadways.



2006-2010 SHSP

In 2006 Maryland updated the SHSP based on the process recommended by the 2005 Safe, Accountable, Flexible, and Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) legislation. The result was a statewide, comprehensive safety plan that provided a coordinated framework for establishing statewide goals, objectives, and key emphasis areas developed in consultation with federal, state, local, and private sector safety stakeholders.

2011-2015 SHSP

In 2010 Maryland joined many other states and AASHTO in adopting the TZD national initiative. Maryland's TZD approach has been adopted by the Maryland Chiefs of Police Association, the Maryland Sheriff's Association, the Maryland EMS Board, and the Maryland Association of County Health Officers. TZD includes a fatality goal of reducing motor vehicle-related fatalities and injuries by one-half of the 2008 baseline by 2030, with an eventual goal to approach zero traffic deaths.



The 2011 SHSP, with this goal in mind, was updated to focus more strategically on fewer emphasis areas likely to yield results. Maryland reduced its SHSP emphasis areas to six, each of which adopted fatality and injury reduction goals.




2016-2020 SHSP

Maryland maintains the TZD approach by developing interim goals to reduce fatalities by at least 50 percent in the next two decades (from 592 in 2008 to 296 in 2030). A reduction of 3.1 percent was applied to each calendar year for subsequent estimates, creating yearly benchmarks.² In the coming years, if an emphasis area trend line moves in an upward direction, exponential trend methodologies cannot accurately project future decreases. In those instances a 2 percent annual reduction will be the method for establishing targets.³



Taking into account new guidelines in Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21), Maryland executives collaborated on revisions to the goal-setting methodology. The initial TZD goal will remain (296 fatalities or fewer by 2030) but the annual percent reduction was adjusted based on the 2013 crash data. This resulted in lower reductions necessary each year to reach the 2030 target. The executive group also decided that the geometric means reduction method (with previously selected 2030 goals) will only be applied to the four major goals required of the state (fatalities, fatality rate by vehicle miles traveled, serious injuries, and serious injury rate by vehicle miles traveled). The emphasis area objectives will be based on a five year rolling average utilizing an exponential trend.



All traffic safety documents in the State of Maryland conform to these methodologies, including the SHSP, the MHSO's Highway Safety Plan (HSP), the SHA's Highway Safety Improvement Plan (HSIP), and the SHA's Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan (CVSP). Additionally, all planning documents developed by the MHSO staff and all state-level reporting to the Governor use SHSP emphasis area fatality and serious injury objectives.



² Maryland used the same methodology to determine the 2015 goals set forth in the current SHSP (2011–2015).

³ 23 US Code 150 – <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2011-title23/html/USCODE-2011-title23-chap1-sec150.htm>


Unless otherwise noted, all data are derived from the State Highway Administration Safety Information Database (SHA-SID), based on crash reports submitted to, and processed by, the Maryland State Police Central Records Division (MSP-CRD) utilizing the Enhanced Maryland Automated Accident Reporting System (eMAARS) and the Automated Crash Reporting System (ACRS). Data are subject to change. Effective January 1, 2015, all law enforcement agencies were mandated by the MSP to submit all crash reports via ACRS.

Fatality Goal: Reduce the annual number of traffic-related fatalities on all roads in Maryland from 466 in 2013 to 387 or fewer by December 31, 2020.

Fatality Rate Goal: Reduce the annual rate of traffic-related fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (MVMT) on all roads in Maryland from 0.83 in 2013 to 0.69 or smaller by December 31, 2020.

Serious Injury Goal: Reduce the annual number of traffic-related serious injuries on all roads in Maryland from 2,957 in 2013 to 2,939 or fewer by December 31, 2020.


Serious Injury Rate Goal: Reduce the annual rate of traffic-related serious injuries per 100 MVMT on all roads in Maryland from 5.24 in 2013 to 5.21 or smaller by December 31, 2020.






The goals for serious injuries and serious injury rate were set in accordance with the TZD approach used for the fatalities and fatality rates. This methodology originally used the number of serious injuries observed in 2008 to set the 2030 goal. In 2015, the fatality and serious injury goals were revised to use 2013 as a base year to project out to the original 2030 estimate. Since the 2030 goal remains unchanged, the significant decline in serious injuries observed in recent years resulted in minimal reductions needed during the intervening years to reach the goal.

Total Serious Injuries in Maryland (2008-2013) and Interim Goals (2016-2020)



Total Serious Injury Rate per 100 MVMT in Maryland (2008-2013) and Interim Goals (2016-2020)



SHSP Development. Maryland began updating the SHSP by convening the Maryland Highway Safety Summit over a three-day period in February 2014. Approximately 300 safety stakeholders




from a wide variety of organizations and disciplines attended the event which served as a springboard to developing the 2016-2020 SHSP. The event was a successful culmination of more than a year's worth of planning and coordination.

The Summit carried a theme of "Connecting the Dots," emphasizing the need for collaboration across the Four Es to meet Maryland's transportation safety goals. Members of the SHSP Executive Council attended the Summit, and their participation helped set the tone and framework for the 2016-2020 SHSP planning process. Partners were engaged in a wide variety of topics and new ideas, and their experiences were infused into SHSP Emphasis Area Teams.

Maryland's safety leaders held a 2016-2020 SHSP kick-off meeting in September 2014 with key stakeholders to outline a process to develop the new SHSP and performance measure methodology. The roles and responsibilities of the Executive Committee and the EA Teams were presented along with the proposed timeline for SHSP development. Speakers reviewed Maryland's progress to date, the SHSP's target setting methodology, and strengths and weaknesses of the current plan. The Chairs and Co-chairs of the Emphasis Area Teams were nominated and the charge was given to the EA Teams to begin.

The 2016-2020 SHSP takes the essence of the previous plan (a focused approach on specific emphasis areas) and incorporates systemic safety implementation to complement the location-focused approach of the previous SHSP. The result is an evidence-based approach that starts with asking the question, "What do the data tell us?" The answer can lead to lasting change. The analysis of emphasis areas and target groups culminated in the development of six emphasis areas and seven target groups, as illustrated in the figure below.



Stakeholders. A wide range of stakeholder groups – including federal, state and local government agencies, nongovernmental organizations, regional authorities, and individual advocates – participated in the development of the SHSP.⁴ Each EA Team – which includes regional and local agencies – held at least two facilitated discussions to identify, develop, and finalize strategies for the 2016-2020 SHSP.⁵ Each EA Team wrestled with difficult decisions regarding how to cover the essentials of transportation safety while remaining strategic and focused on the most vital needs. The entire list of agency, organization, and other stakeholder safety partners is available in Appendix A.

Emphasis Area Strategy Development. The Executive Committee met in January 2015 to hear the EA Team Chairs and Co-chairs present their existing strategies, proposed revisions, and any challenges and opportunities that emerged from the planning process. The Executive Committee and the Steering Committee at large provided feedback to the Chairs and Co-chairs and approved their strategies. One change made for the 2016-2020 SHSP is the addition of bicyclists to the Pedestrian emphasis area. Pedestrians and bicyclists are critical target groups within the Maryland SHSP, so combining them into a single emphasis area will help stakeholders address the safety needs of these non-motorized road users.

LINKS TO OTHER MARYLAND SAFETY PLANS

Specific goals and targeting methodology in the HSIP, CVSP, HSP, and the safety components of the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) are consistent with Maryland's SHSP.⁶

Additionally, the MVA has developed a *Motorcycle Safety Programs Action Plan*, an *Older and Medically At-Risk Drivers – Strategic Program Action Plan*, and a *Young Drivers Strategic Plan*. Specific action steps related to enforcement, education, roadway design, public information and program administration for motorcycles, older drivers, and younger drivers will be addressed by action steps across the EA Teams as the data may dictate.

Local plans, including Metropolitan Transportation Plans, have been developed in coordination with the SHSP. Maryland counties have been encouraged to develop a County-level SHSP that follows the objectives, strategies, and structure of the Maryland SHSP.

These and other state and local transportation planning documents are valuable complements to the implementation and success of Maryland's SHSP.

4 23 US Code 148(a)(12)(A) – <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2011-title23/html/USCODE-2011-title23-chap1-sec148.htm>

5 23 US Code 148(a)(12)(E) – <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2011-title23/html/USCODE-2011-title23-chap1-sec148.htm>

6 23 US Code 148(a)(12)(H) – <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2011-title23/html/USCODE-2011-title23-chap1-sec148.htm>




2.

2.1 TRAFFIC RECORDS AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

In an effort to advance the Maryland traffic safety community in achieving the vision of zero traffic-related deaths, the state established the Traffic Records Coordinating Committee (TRCC). The TRCC’s mission is to coordinate all traffic records system components (crash, roadway, citation/adjudication, driver, vehicle, and injury surveillance) using data quality performance measures (timeliness, completeness, accuracy, accessibility, integration, and uniformity). The TRCC exists and operates as part of the statewide support for the SHSP.⁷ The combined efforts of policy leaders, decision makers, and technical experts of the TRCC are crucial to improving and continuing the availability of critical data to EA Teams and all stakeholders contributing to SHSP strategy implementation. Maryland maintains traffic records information systems in compliance with federal recommendations and state requirements to support system stakeholder needs and the management of Maryland’s highway safety programs. There is a direct link between the TRCC and the SHSP supporting the data-driven programs outlined in the SHSP. Quality data analysis is critical for EA Teams to properly identify target groups, adapt and refine countermeasures, and evaluate the effectiveness of implemented strategies. To ensure consistent and appropriate support of the SHSP, a dedicated Data Coordinator has been assigned to each EA Team to coordinate all data needs within that team.

TRAFFIC RECORDS STRATEGIC PLAN
 The TRSP aims to improve the quality, timeliness, and availability of traffic records data and systems that enable practitioners to improve safety.

MHSO manages both a Traffic Records Strategic Plan (TRSP) and the SHSP. As a follow-up to the completion of a Traffic Records Assessment in December 2014, the TRCC is in the final stages of developing a new five-year TRSP to coincide with the SHSP (2016–2020). This purposeful alignment further strengthens the connection between Maryland’s traffic records data and traffic safety programs as each plan is being written in coordination with the other. The process of developing strategies in both the TRSP and the SHSP are similar. Each SHSP EA Team develops strategies with a vision and understanding of the need for data to carry out selected actions, and the TRCC is developing strategies in consideration of the end users, such as the EA Team members, who need traffic safety data to implement and measure their strategies.




⁷ This is required under Highway Safety Programs, MAP-21 Section 31102

2.2 MARYLAND CRASH HISTORY

From 2009 to 2013, an average of 502 people were killed each year in more than 90,000 police-reported traffic crashes in Maryland, and an average of 3,207 people were seriously injured each year. On average, one person was killed every 18 hours; someone was seriously injured every 2 1/2 hours; and a reported crash occurred every 6 minutes.



ONE WEEK IN
MARYLAND



Since 2009, positive downward trends have been experienced in fatalities and serious injuries as shown in the following table:


STATEWIDE FATALITIES AND SERIOUS INJURIES

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Five Year Avg	% Change from 2009 to 2013
Fatalities	550	496	488	511	466	502	-15.3%
Serious Injuries	4,383	4,051	3,809	3,312	2,957	3,702	-32.5%

SOURCE: Maryland Highway Safety Office Benchmark Report



The five-year fatality rate trend for Maryland decreased from a high of 0.989 fatalities per 100 MVMT in 2009 to a low of 0.825 in 2013. The overall fatality rate has also consistently been lower than the national fatality rate for every year since 1992. Total VMT increased by slightly less than 1 percent to 56.5 billion in 2013.



FATALITY RATE, VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED, MARYLAND AND NATIONAL, 2009-2013


YEAR	VMT (100 Million Miles)	FATALITIES*	FATALITY RATE*	NATIONAL FATALITY RATE**
2009	556	550	0.989	1.15
2010	562	496	0.882	1.11
2011	560	488	0.870	1.10
2012	564	511	0.906	1.14
2013	565	466	0.825	N/A
5-yr Avg	561	502	0.894	N/A

*Source: SHA-SID/ eMAARS and ACRS

**Source: NHTSA, Fatality Analysis Reporting (FARS)

The figure below displays both the rise in VMT and a decrease in fatalities over the past 20 years:

Maryland Vehicle Miles of Travel and Traffic Fatality Trends for State and Local Roadways



3.

The Maryland SHSP describes a program of strategies to minimize or eliminate transportation-related fatalities and serious injuries.⁸ High priority has been given to those strategies that can significantly reduce roadway fatalities and serious injuries in the six identified SHSP emphasis areas (in no particular order).

- Aggressive Driving
- Occupant Protection
- Distracted Driving
- Highway Infrastructure
- Impaired Driving
- Pedestrians and Bicyclists

As described in the following sections, the Maryland SHSP Steering Committee considered a variety of key factors when determining strategies for the SHSP emphasis areas, including the highway safety elements of engineering, education, enforcement, and emergency medical services, applied to both infrastructure and non-infrastructure emphasis areas, as appropriate.⁹



8 23 US Code 148(a)(12)(F) – Highway safety improvement program. <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2011-title23/html/USCODE-2011-title23-chap1-sec148.htm>

9 23 US Code 148(a)(12)(C) – Highway safety improvement program. <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2011-title23/html/USCODE-2011-title23-chap1-sec148.htm>



3.1 AGGRESSIVE DRIVING

An average of 51 fatalities and 336 serious injuries have occurred each year in traffic crashes involving an aggressive driver. Congestion on roadways, distracted drivers, speeding, and following too close are just a few factors that can lead to aggressive driving behavior.

An aggressive driving crash occurs when at least one driver in the crash was reported to be driving aggressively, defined by having one of the following values in the first two Contributing Circumstance fields from the standard crash report form.

- Failed to yield right-of-way
- Failed to obey traffic signal
- Failed to keep right of center
- Wrong way on a one way street
- Too fast for conditions
- Improper lane change
- Failed to obey stop sign
- Failed to obey other traffic control
- Failed to stop for a school bus
- Exceeded speed limit
- Followed too closely
- Improper passing

Education and awareness play important roles in reducing aggressive driving behavior. Creating a broader understanding of the behaviors that are associated with aggressive driving can lead to reductions in these types of crashes and associated fatalities and injuries. Enforcement also plays a strong role. More than 1.2 million motor vehicle moving violation citations are issued annually throughout the state; nearly one-quarter are speeding violations.

The Aggressive Driving EA Team fosters coordination among transportation agencies, safety professionals, and law enforcement to help reduce the number of aggressive driving-related fatalities and serious injuries.




3.1.1 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

The Aggressive Driving EA Team, in cooperation with the SHSP Executive Council, is responsible for meeting or exceeding the following performance objectives.


Fatality Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of aggressive driving-related fatalities on all roads in Maryland from 51 in 2009-2013 to 30 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).

Serious Injury Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of aggressive driving-related serious injuries on all roads in Maryland from 336 in 2009-2013 to 167 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).



2015	37
2016	34
2017	32
2018	30

Equal to the midpoint of the 5-year averages



2015	226
2016	204
2017	184
2018	167

Equal to the midpoint of the 5-year averages

3.1.2 EMPHASIS AREA STRATEGIES

To accomplish the performance objectives, the Aggressive Driving EA Team will implement the following strategies:

1. Use data-driven approaches to identify driver behaviors and target audiences to focus on aggressive and speed-related enforcement, education, engineering, and emergency services.
2. Develop and implement aggressive driving enforcement practices.
3. Identify and implement effective engineering and technological solutions to reduce aggressive driving.
4. Conduct public awareness, training, and media programs aimed at reducing aggressive driving.
5. Promote and support legislation and adjudication to reduce aggressive driving.



3.2 DISTRACTED DRIVING

In Maryland an average of 232 people were killed each year and 2,348 seriously injured in crashes involving a distracted driver.¹⁰ Driver distractions have joined alcohol and speeding as leading factors in fatal and serious injury crashes. A distracted driving crash occurs when a driver shifts attention away from the driving task due to a number of things, including adjusting a radio, attending to a child, or using a cell phone (e.g., talking, texting, or other use).



3.2.1 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES



The Distracted Driving EA Team, in cooperation with the SHSP Executive Council, will be responsible for meeting or exceeding the following performance objectives.

Fatality Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of distracted driving-related fatalities on all roads in Maryland from 232 in 2009-2013 to 140 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).

Serious Injury Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of distracted driving-related serious injuries on all roads in Maryland from 2,348 in 2009-2013 to 1,025 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).



¹⁰ 2009-2013 data



3.2.2 EMPHASIS AREA STRATEGIES

To accomplish the performance objectives, the Distracted Driving EA Team will implement the following strategies:

1. Evaluate and improve data quality for problem identification and program evaluation purposes.
2. Enhance and improve enforcement of distracted driving laws.
3. Integrate and foster the use of technologies and engineering applications to address distracted driving infrastructure.
4. Conduct outreach initiatives including, but not limited to, education, training, and media programs to reduce distracted driving.
5. Evaluate and recommend legislation and/or regulations that address distractive behavior while driving.



3.3 IMPAIRED DRIVING

An average of 160 people lost their lives and 530 were seriously injured in crashes involving an impaired driver.



According to NHTSA’s Fatal Analysis Reporting System (FARS), drivers are considered to be alcohol-impaired when their blood alcohol concentration (BAC) is .08 grams per deciliter (g/dL) or higher. Thus, any fatality occurring in a crash involving a driver with a BAC of .08 or higher is considered to be an alcohol-impaired-driving fatality. In Maryland, an impaired driving crash as indicated on the Maryland crash report is determined by the investigating officer based on the driver’s condition, BAC, and/or substance use detection. It will include any level of alcohol in the system and/or drug impairment. Therefore Maryland State impaired driving targets are different than the targets based on FARS data. Objectives for both state- and federally-defined impaired driving are included herein to maintain continuity with previous Maryland SHSPs and to maintain the link with other state plans that exclusively use state crash data as the source for problem identification and program evaluation.

3.3.1 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES


The Impaired Driving EA Team, in cooperation with the SHSP Executive Council, will be responsible for meeting or exceeding the following performance objectives.

Fatality Objective based on NHTSA’s Impaired Definition:


Reduce the five-year average number of impaired (BAC 0.08+) driving-related fatalities on all roads in Maryland from 157 in 2009–2013 to 131 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016–2020 average).

Fatality Objective Based on State’s Impaired Definition:

Reduce the five-year average number of impaired (alcohol/drug) driving-related fatalities on all roads in Maryland from 171 in 2009–2013 to 126 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016–2020 average).




Serious Injury Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of impaired (alcohol/drug) driving-related serious injuries on all roads in Maryland from 530 in 2009-2013 to 261 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).



STATE NHTSA

2015	141	143
2016	137	137
2017	134	131
2018	131	127

Equal to the midpoint of the 5-year averages



2015	352
2016	318
2017	288
2018	261

Equal to the midpoint of the 5-year averages

3.3.2 EMPHASIS AREA STRATEGIES

To accomplish the performance objectives, the Impaired Driving EA Team will implement the following strategies:

1. Improve the availability, quality, collection, and use of data to support impaired driving enforcement, adjudication, programs, and initiatives.
2. Enhance and improve enforcement of impaired driving laws.
3. Enhance and improve the prosecution and adjudication of impaired driving cases.
4. Investigate and foster the use of technologies and best practices to support impaired driving countermeasures.
5. Conduct outreach initiatives including, but not limited to, education, training, and media programs to reduce impaired driving.
6. Investigate and promote policies and legislation aimed at reducing impaired driving.



3.4 OCCUPANT PROTECTION

An average of 166 unrestrained motorists were killed in Maryland traffic crashes each year, and an average of 315 were seriously injured. Non-use of personal restraint or protective equipment is typically not a contributing factor to a crash occurring, but when a crash does occur, the severity of personal injury is greatly affected by the use or non-use of safety equipment designed for occupant protection.

An unrestrained occupant crash is defined as including a passenger vehicle (automobile, station wagon, van, SUV, or pickup truck) occupant:

- Less than 8 years of age recorded as not using a "Child/Youth Restraint,"
- 8 years of age or older recorded as not using a "Lap and Shoulder Belt" or "Air Bag and Belt," or
- Whose restraint use was recorded as using "None" or "Air Bag Only."



In 2014 the State of Maryland seat belt usage rate was recorded at 92.1 percent, up from 90.7 percent in 2013.



3.4.1 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

The Occupant Protection EA Team, in cooperation with the SHSP Executive Council, will be responsible for meeting or exceeding the following performance objectives.

Fatality Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of unrestrained motor vehicle occupant fatalities on all roads in Maryland from 116 in 2009-2013 to 72 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).

Serious Injury Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of unrestrained motor vehicle occupant serious injuries on all roads in Maryland from 315 in 2009-2013 to 116 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).





3.4.2 EMPHASIS AREA STRATEGIES

To accomplish the performance objectives, the Occupant Protection EA Team will implement the following strategies.

1. Improve the timeliness, accuracy, completeness, uniformity, accessibility, and integration of occupant protection-related data.
2. Enhance and improve enforcement of adult and child occupant protection laws.
3. Implement adult and child occupant protection public awareness and education, training, and media campaigns.
4. Evaluate and recommend legislation and/or regulations to advance occupant protection for all ages.




3.5 HIGHWAY INFRASTRUCTURE

An average of 276 fatalities and 2,169 serious injuries occurred in crashes involving infrastructure-related issues. Intersection-related and run-off-the-road crashes are the prime indicators of roadway infrastructure opportunities for improvement. Work zone crashes are also included in this emphasis area.



DISTRIBUTION OF FIXED OBJECTS STRUCK IN RUN-OFF ROAD FATALITIES ON ALL MARYLAND ROADWAYS — 2009-2013



*"Other/Unknown" includes Bridge Overpass, Building, Crash Attenuator, Fence, and Sign Post.



A **Run-Off-the-Road Crash** is defined as a crash where the first event was recorded as striking a fixed object or running off the road, or the location of the crash was reported as off-road or in the median.



Intersection Crashes are those crashes reported as occurring in an intersection or being intersection-related (i.e., in a traffic situation resulting from an intersection). An average of 103 fatalities and 1,320 serious injuries occurred in intersection-related crashes each year from 2009 to 2013.

Work Zone Crashes are those crashes reported as occurring in a work zone in the standard crash report. They can include construction, maintenance, and utility work zones. From 2009-2013 Maryland suffered an average of six fatalities and 48 serious injuries in work zone-related crashes.

This safety problem is spread across both the state and local roadway networks (with approximately 70 percent of fatalities occurring on the state-owned system), and the approach to address these crashes must include empowering local jurisdictions to address these crashes.



3.5.1 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES


The Highway Infrastructure EA Team, in cooperation with the SHSP Executive Council, will be responsible for meeting or exceeding the following performance objectives.

Fatality Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of infrastructure-related fatalities on all roads in Maryland from 276 in 2009-2013 to 187 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).

Serious Injury Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of infrastructure-related serious injuries on all roads in Maryland from 2,169 in 2009-2013 to 982 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).

MARYLAND SEVERITY INDEX

The technique used in calculating the Crash Severity Index and prioritizing Candidate Safety Improvement Locations (CSIL) is based on information from the police crash report form. The Crash Severity Index is a weighted crash frequency adjustment to account for crash severity.



The Crash Severity Index is the primary tool used to prioritize locations, and it will be considered for Highway Infrastructure EA Strategies 1 and 2.

3.5.2 EMPHASIS AREA STRATEGIES

To accomplish the performance objectives, the Highway Infrastructure EA Team will implement the following strategies.

1. Identify intersections where the Crash Severity Index is high and implement safety improvements.
2. Identify and target safety improvements along corridors where the Crash Severity Index is high and address roadway elements that contribute to crashes.
3. Develop and implement system-wide improvements to reduce the number and severity of infrastructure-related crashes (e.g., intersection-related, run-off-the-road, work zone-related, etc.).
4. Identify, develop, and implement system-wide improvements that address the safety of vulnerable user groups (e.g., bicyclists, pedestrians, motorcyclists, older and young drivers, etc.).
5. Identify and implement recommended safety initiatives for commercial motor carriers.



3.6 PEDESTRIANS AND BICYCLISTS

On average, 105 pedestrians were killed and 362 seriously injured each year in Maryland traffic crashes. Bicyclists were also affected: an average of 7 were killed and 68 were seriously injured each year. Non-motorized road users tend to be the most vulnerable, and the proportion of fatalities and serious injuries including pedestrians and bicyclists has increased over time.

Pedestrian crashes are defined as crashes involving a person reported as a pedestrian on foot (using the 'pedestrian' person type and 'pedestrian on foot' pedestrian type), including a motorist who has exited a vehicle. Bicyclist crashes are defined as crashes involving a person reported as a bicyclist or pedalcyclist (using the 'bicyclist' or 'other pedalcyclist' type).

3.6.1 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

The Pedestrians and Bicyclists EA Team, in cooperation with the SHSP Executive Council, will be responsible for meeting or exceeding the following performance objectives.



PEDESTRIANS

Fatality Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of pedestrian fatalities on all roads in Maryland from 105 in 2009-2013 to 91 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).

Serious Injury Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of pedestrian serious injuries on all roads in Maryland from 362 in 2009-2013 to 234 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).

Since the number of pedestrian fatalities has remained relatively constant in recent years, applying an exponential trend does not work. Instead, a two-percent reduction was applied to each year to establish the pedestrian fatality goals.

BICYCLISTS


Fatality Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of bicycle fatalities on all roads in Maryland from 7 in 2009-2013 to 6 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).

Serious Injury Objective: Reduce the five-year average number of bicycle serious injuries on all roads in Maryland from 68 in 2009-2013 to 57 or fewer by December 31, 2020 (2016-2020 average).



2015	97
2016	95
2017	93
2018	91

Equal to the midpoint of the 5-year averages



2015	282
2016	265
2017	249
2018	234


Equal to the midpoint of the 5-year averages

3.6.2 EMPHASIS AREA STRATEGIES

To accomplish these objectives, the Pedestrians and Bicyclists EA Team will implement the following strategies.




1. Identify and target pedestrian and bicycle safety issues, populations, and locations of concern through the collection, analysis and evaluation of data and information.
2. Promote safe behaviors of all road users appropriate for the environment through education and enforcement initiatives.
3. Create and improve roadway environments for safe walking and bicycling through implementation of engineering treatments, land use planning, and system-wide countermeasures.
4. Create and improve pedestrian and bicycle safety culture in Maryland including the promotion and implementation of legislation and training of professionals and stakeholders about best safety practices.
5. Develop, apply, and promote technological approaches, including those in vehicles and emergency response equipment, in order to better prevent and reduce the severity of collisions involving pedestrians and bicyclists.
6. Identify and promote safe driving and pedestrian behaviors for all motorists and public safety professionals at the scene of emergency events.



2015	6
2016	6
2017	6
2018	6

Equal to the midpoint of the 5-year averages



2015	62
2016	60
2017	58
2018	57

Equal to the midpoint of the 5-year averages

4.

Alongside emphasis areas, a few special vehicles and roadway environments are of particular interest to the SHSP Executive Council and safety stakeholders due to their unique safety needs. Crashes involving these vehicles can pose an increased risk to occupants and other road users, and the ramifications sometimes reach beyond just safety to economic issues.

Commercial Motor Vehicles. The number of commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) is increasing on roadways across Maryland. Between 2009 and 2013 CMVs were involved in 7 percent of all traffic crashes in Maryland, and 11 percent of fatal crashes involved a CMV.



Strategies include partnering with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) to help promote their efforts to improve CMV safety from a driver and vehicle perspective; educating road users and motor carriers on CMV regulations and visibility issues; and initiating appropriate infrastructure countermeasures with CMVs in mind.

School Buses and Bus Stops. Though school bus crashes are relatively rare compared to other vehicle involvement, when a school bus crash occurs it has the potential for harm to children. More prevalent are pedestrians (often school children) being hit by school buses. NHTSA indicates that most of the children killed in crashes involving school buses are hit in a zone within 10 feet of the bus, either by the bus itself, or a passing vehicle. Strategies include educating roadway users and children about school bus laws and regulations and enforcement of stop sign/signal/arm violations.



Transit Buses and Bus Stops. Transit buses and bus stops have unique safety needs. As the transit system grows over time and ridership increases, Maryland can expect additional conflicts between vehicles, transit buses, and pedestrians. Due to the number of occupants riding a bus, the potential for multiple harmful outcomes should be considered when improving safety for these road users. Strategies include support for safe transit stops and enforcement of laws and regulations for bus drivers. Partners include the Maryland Transit Administration and the Federal Transit Administration.





Motorcycles. From 2009 to 2013 an average of 70 traffic fatalities and 306 serious injuries involved a motorcycle. Several factors have contributed to increased motorcycle miles driven in recent years, including increased fuel prices in the late-2000s and popularity among certain age groups (e.g., Baby Boomers). Common attributes in motorcycle crashes include alcohol-impaired operators, lack of helmet use, and aggressive driving. Failure to share the road and yield to motorcyclists are common contributing factors for drivers of other vehicles colliding with motorcyclists.

Strategies include expanded public information and education and training programs for motorcyclists and other road users. These are addressed through the MVA's Motorcycle Safety Action Plan.

Rail-Highway Grade Crossings. According to the inventory maintained by the Federal Railroad Administration, there are 633 public-at-grade, 628 private-at-grade, and 22 pedestrian-at-grade rail crossings in Maryland. Crashes at rail-highway grade crossings can occur between trains, motor vehicles, and pedestrians, though the number of incidents in Maryland is low.

Maryland has made a continuous effort to improve safety for the traveling public at rail-highway crossings. Efforts include the installation of new flashing light signals, with or without gates, and the replacement of outdated components at existing active warning device installations.

One concern nationally is that approximately half of rail-highway grade crossing crashes occur at active crossings (i.e., those with flashers, extra signing, and/or gates), leading to a conclusion that human behavior is a primary contributor.



5.


Implementation of the 2016-2020 SHSP will involve the collaborative work of professionals representing the disciplines of transportation planning, engineering and operations, public outreach and education, legislation and law enforcement, and emergency medical services. Each of these disciplines has a critical role to play in defining the optimal combination of countermeasures to continue to reduce the number and severity of traffic crashes.

The Administrator of the MVA has been designated as the Governor's Representative for highway safety. As the SHSP is the strategic road map for saving lives on Maryland's highways, the MHSO is responsible for day-to-day leadership, administration, and coordination. Through the administration of federal highway safety grant programs both the MHSO and the SHA implement statewide and local programs.

Emphasis Area strategies will drive implementation, and each strategy will be supported by a series of action steps. The EA Teams will choose and update these actions as needed. The EA Team strategic plans will continue to identify the key steps, schedule, and responsible organization to move each strategy from planning to full implementation and evaluation.

EA Teams will meet quarterly for the duration of this SHSP, and the main agenda item for each EA Team meeting will be tracking action steps completed to date, identifying the progress of measurable objectives, and assigning actions to be completed in advance of the next meeting.

EA Team Chairs, Co-chairs, and contractor liaisons will coordinate between meetings to regularly assess strategies, action steps, and progress toward achieving objectives. These leaders will keep the EA Teams strategic plans and lists of action steps updated in real-time. Proposed changes to the current EA Teams strategies, if necessary, will be drafted and submitted to the Executive Council for consideration.



6.

Maryland's SHSP Executive Council will evaluate the SHSP on a regular and recurring basis, ensure the accuracy of the data, and prioritize proposed strategies.¹¹ Maryland will establish an evaluation process to analyze and assess results achieved by the implementation of SHSP strategies and action steps.

The most important evaluation measure will be the reduction in fatalities on Maryland roadways to 387 or fewer by 2020 to continue progress to the interim TZD goal of 296 or fewer in 2030.


Additional items to be monitored by the SHSP Executive Council include:

- **Output Measures:** Emphasis Area strategy implementation
- **Outcome Measures:** Emphasis Area performance objectives

6.1 EVALUATION PROCESS

During SHSP development, Maryland paid particular attention to performance measures and how progress will be determined.

The Executive Council will conduct an annual review to track SHSP implementation and monitor progress. The Council will confirm the validity of the emphasis areas and strategies and address SHSP process and performance issues that can be improved upon during implementation of the 2016-2020 SHSP. For example, if an SHSP goal or objective is not met, the results may suggest a strategy is ineffective or not fully or correctly implemented.



On a quarterly basis the Executive Council will review EA team strategy implementation and performance objectives. Based on available data and reports from EA Teams, the Executive Council will assign actions to encourage implementation success. Results from each evaluation (quarterly EA strategy review and annual SHSP evaluation) will be used to modify strategies and their implementation through action steps.

6.2 UPDATE SCHEDULE

This SHSP will cover the period from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2020. The SHSP Executive Council will solicit support to produce the next version of the SHSP (2021-2025) in 2019, with work commencing in late-2019 and early-2020 to complete the update.

¹¹ 23 US Code 148(c)(1)(C); (d)(1)).



APPENDIX A: MARYLAND STRATEGIC HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN IMPLEMENTATION TEAM

Bala Akundi

Baltimore Metropolitan Council

Kevin Anderson

Maryland Transportation Authority Police

Jennifer Atkinson

Leidos

Mike Bomgardner

Maryland Highway Safety Office

Jim Brown

Maryland Institute for Emergency Medical Services System

Cindy Burch

National Study Center

Brian Chandler

Leidos

John Concannon

State Highway Administration

Dave Coyne

State Highway Administration

Joyce Dantzer

Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Tom Didone

Montgomery County Police Department

Bill Dofflemeyer

Maryland State Police

Jeff Dunckel

Montgomery County Government

Kurt Erickson

Washington Regional Alcohol Program

Daniel Fairburn

Maryland State Police

Jeff Folden

State Highway Administration

Tom Gianni

Maryland Highway Safety Office

Dana Gigliotti

Maryland Highway Safety Office

Kathleen Graham

Maryland Highway Safety Office

Brett Graves

Leidos

Stephanie Hancock

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Larry Harmel

Maryland Chiefs of Police Association

Breck Jeffers

Federal Highway Administration

Debbie Jennings

Maryland Highway Safety Office

Jerry Jones

Maryland State Police

Tim Kerns

National Study Center

Dustin Kuzan

State Highway Administration

Jessica Lambertson

Maryland Highway Safety Office

Ernie Lehr

Maryland Highway Safety Office

Jacqueline Milani

National Study Center

Peter Moe

Motor Vehicle Administration

Douglas Mowbray

Maryland Highway Safety Office

Christine Nizer

Motor Vehicle Administration

Jack Oates

Consultant

Nick Owens

Leidos

Lora Rakowski

State Highway Administration

Tim Richards

Maryland Highway Safety Office

John Rotz

State Highway Administration

Mike Sabol

Maryland Highway Safety Office

Kelvin Saldanha

State Highway Administration

Nanette Schieke

Motor Vehicle Administration

Linda Stascavage

Maryland State Police

Eric Tabacek

State Highway Administration

Christina Utz

Maryland Highway Safety Office

Cedric Ward

State Highway Administration

Tracy Whitman

Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Stephanie Yanovitz

Sabra, Wang & Associates

Michael Yetter

Howard County Police Department

APPENDIX B: GLOSSARY

Aggressive Driving-Related Crash: A crash in which a driver has one of the following values in both the primary and secondary contributing circumstance fields of the Maryland crash report: failed to yield right of way; failed to obey stop sign; failed to obey traffic signal; failed to obey other traffic control; failed to keep right of center; failed to stop for school bus; wrong way on one way; exceeded speed limit; too fast for conditions; followed too closely; improper lane change; or improper passing.

Bicyclist Crash: All persons involved in a crash with a person reported as a bicyclist or pedalcyclist (using the 'bicyclist' or 'other pedalcyclist' type). Bicycle crashes are defined as those involving a bicyclist or other pedalcyclist.



Distracted Driving-Related Crash: At least one driver in the crash was reported to be distracted, defined by having values of either 'failure to give full time and attention' or 'cell phone in use' in any of the four available contributing circumstance fields.

Emergency Event: Any event that requires or involves first responder or roadway assistance such as traffic stops, traffic crashes, disabled vehicles, or other fire and police activity.

Fatality: Defined as injury severity 05, based on the KABCO scale, as determined by law enforcement and also must be a person who dies due to injuries sustained in a motor vehicle crash (within 30 days of that incident) on Maryland traffic ways, as defined by the Maryland State Police, with guidance from ANSI D16.1 Manual on Classification of Motor Vehicle Traffic Accidents.

Highway Infrastructure-Related Crash: Run-off-the-road crashes, intersection-related crashes, and work zone crashes. Since an individual crash may encompass one, two, or all three components (e.g., intersection crash in a work zone), the sum of fatalities/serious injuries across all three components will exceed the total provided for Highway Infrastructure.

High Risk Rural Road: A statewide listing of all roads, including non-state highways inventoried as SHA functional class 7 (Rural Major Collector), 8 (Rural Minor Collector) or 9 (Rural Local) with fatal and/or incapacitating injury crash frequency of 4 or more police reported crashes within a ½-mile section during a 3-year period.

Impaired Driving-Related Crash: The Maryland definition of an impaired driving crash is at least one driver in the crash is determined to be impaired by the investigating officer as indicated through the driver condition, BAC, substance use detected and contributing factor fields on the Maryland crash report. Note that this number includes drug impairment; therefore, it will not match alcohol-impaired fatality figures provided by FARS, which measures only those drivers with a recorded BAC greater than 0.08.

Intersection-Related Crash: Crashes reported as occurring in an intersection or being intersection-related. 'Intersection-related' is not a location type but a judgment about the effects of intersections and their traffic controls upon traffic and crash causation. If the crash is deemed to have occurred as a result of backed up traffic from an intersection (presumably at a non-intersection location) the junction relationship is 'intersection-related.'

Motorcycle Crash: All persons in a crash involving at least one motorcycle, defined as a 'motorcycle' body type. Operators and passengers on the motorcycle itself are included.



Motorist: Driver or passenger of a vehicle.

Older Driver-Related Crash: All persons in a crash where at least one driver in the crash was reported to be between the ages of 65 and 110.

Pedestrian Crash: All persons involved in a crash with a person reported as a pedestrian on foot (using the 'pedestrian' person type and 'pedestrian on foot' pedestrian type).

Run-off-the-Road Crash: Crashes where the first event was recorded as striking a fixed object or running off the road or the location of the crash was reported as off-road or in the median.

Serious Injury: Defined as injury severity 04, based on the KABCO scale, as determined by law enforcement.

Speed-Related Crash: A crash where at least one driver in the crash was reported to be speeding, defined by having values of either 'exceeded speed limit' or 'too fast for conditions' in the first or second contributing circumstance fields.

Unrestrained Occupant: An unrestrained occupant is defined as a passenger vehicle (automobile, station wagon, van, SUV, pickup truck) occupant who is: less than 8 years of age recorded as not using a 'child/youth restraint'; 8 years of age or older recorded as not using a 'lap and shoulder belt' or 'air bag and belt'; or where restraint use was recorded as using 'none,' or 'air bag only.'

Work Zone Crash: Crashes reported by the officer as 'Yes' for Construction/Maintenance Zone.





MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
mdot.maryland.gov

MARYLAND HIGHWAY SAFETY OFFICE
mhso.mva.maryland.gov

TOWARD ZERO DEATHS
towardzerodeathsmd.com